

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/978,302	10/	17/2001	Robert E. Sterling	T2281-907509	4339
7	' 590	03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Dennis P. Clarke				CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
Miles & Stockbridge Suite 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1751 Pinnacle Drive				1713	
McLean, VA 22102				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/978,302	STERLING ET AL.	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William K Cheung	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	1 1	ss
Period for Reply		(
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			w.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u>	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213,	7-4.2.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-11, 14, 16-18, 21-25, 28-29</u> is/	are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,12,13,15,19,20,26 and 27</u> is/are o	bjected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0917</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/978,302

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 1713

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 14, 16-18, 21-25, 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yonek et al. (US 5,777,061).

The invention of claims 1-3, 6-11, 14, 16-18, 21-25, 28-29 relates to a mixture and process for making the same comprising (1) a cross-linkable thermosetting resin providing composition and intimately admixed therewith, (2) from about 0.01% to about <1.0%, by weight, based on the weight of the mixture, of a fluorocarbon additive selected from the group consisting of a fluorocarbon oil, a fluorocarbon gum, a fluorocarbon grease and mixtures thereof, said fluorocarbon additive having a lower surface energy than that of the thermoset resin formed by cross-linking said composition.

Yonek et al. (abstract; col. 15-16, claim 1, 8, 14) disclose a crosslinkable thermosetting resin comprising 0.05 to 7 weight percent of fluorine containing additive. Regarding the fluorine-containing additive, Yonek et al. (col. 1, line 5-12) disclose that the additive is a fluorine-containing polyisocyanate. According to applicants' specification (page 9, third paragraph), applicants define oils, gums and greases that are polymeric in nature. Therefore, in view of applicants' definition of what is considered as oil, gum, grease, the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the claimed fluorocarbon additive is inherently possessed in Yonek et al. where Yonek et al. clearly

Application/Control Number: 09/978,302

Art Unit: 1713

disclose a fluorine-containing polymeric additive that can be in oil, gum, or grease form. Since the PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-5, 12-13, 15, 19-20, 26-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art Yonek et al. (US 5,777,061) are silent on a composition comprising a fluorinated polyether or a perfluorinated polypropylene oxide.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1713

272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Cheung

Patent Examiner

February 21, 2004